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Background

The WILIS 2 project aims to design and implement an alumni survey that all Library and Information Science (LIS) programs can potentially use. LIS programs have generally lacked the time and resources to systematically survey their alumni. As a result, stakeholders lack an adequate understanding of what happens to graduates. Educators, in particular, do not have ongoing data about the extent to which their programs meet students’ expectations, prepare them for the workplace or meet continuing learning needs. Such an understanding will assist in educating and managing the LIS workforce more effectively.

Methods

The WILIS 2 project builds on WILIS 1, a comprehensive study of career patterns of graduates of LIS programs in North Carolina. In WILIS 2, a community based participatory research approach was taken to revising the recent graduates portion of the LIS 1 survey so that it is suitable for use by all LIS programs. The web-based survey gathers data on the perspectives of recent graduates about their LIS programs, educational and work histories, satisfaction with LIS as a career, and continuing education needs. The survey launched in three phases: a pilot phase of 8 programs (Spring 2009), a second phase of 20 programs (Fall 2009), and a third phase of 15 programs (Spring 2010).
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Preliminary Results from Phases 1 and 2 (28 programs)

- Response rates
  - Overall – 45.4% (N=2801)
  - Individual program response rates ranged from 23.6% to 80.4%.
- Demographic summary
  - 79% female
  - 18% non-white
  - Age distribution:
- Highlights
  - Most graduates (84%) rate their overall LIS program experience as "good" or "excellent."
  - Most graduates (74%) find a job within 3 months after graduation.
  - The vast majority of LIS graduates (89%) are working in jobs that use their LIS skills/knowledge.
- Most common current job settings
- Most common professional activities since graduation

Deans, directors, and chairs of participating programs will receive de-identified datasets, a summary report of text responses, frequency tables for each survey question, and a graphical summary report that compares their results with those for all other programs combined.

http://www.wilis.unc.edu/
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Other activities
Presenter at conference – 29%
Author of paper accepted for publication – 22%
Officer of professional association – 20%
Winner of a competitive grant – 17%
Winner of a work-related award – 17%
Leader in LIS practice innovations – 12%

LIS graduates are interested in participating in continuing education via traditional modes (workshops and training sessions) and online modes (webinars, courses).